

REMARKS

Applicant appreciates the Examiner's teaching and in full compliance therewith respectfully submits this amendment. There are no arguments presented in this amendment.

Allowable Claims 1 and 2

Examiner taught Claims 1 and 2 would be allowable if rewritten to overcome the rejection under 35 U.S.C. §112. As suggested by the Examiner, Applicant has added "*and seat*" after "isolate" to overcome the rejection.

Objection to Invention Title

As taught by the Examiner, Applicant has amended the invention title to "LIFT TYPE WEIGHT MEASURING CENTRIFUGE" to be drawn to the claimed *CENTRIFUGE*.

Objection to Abstract

In compliance with the Examiner's teaching, Applicant has amended *Abstract* to meet 37 C.F.R §1.72(b) in separate sheet.

Objection to Description

Applicant has corrected all minor errors in *Description* and attached *Clean Version* in addition to *Marked-up Version* to help streamline further procedures of the application.

As shown in *Marked-up Version*, all the figure labeling errors have been corrected. Specifically, FIGS. 6a, 6b, 8a, 8b are corrected to read FIGS. 6, 7, 9 and 10 throughout *Description* so as to correspond to the labeling in drawing sheets.

Labels for cross sections are corrected throughout *Description* and drawings sheets. In specific, "C-C" is corrected to "V-V" in FIG. 4 because its cross section view appears in FIG. 5. "B-B" is corrected to "IX-IX" in FIG. 3 because its cross section view appears in FIG. 9. Also, "A-A" is also corrected to "II-II" in FIG. 1 because its cross section view appears in FIG. 2.

Applicant has completely reviewed reference numerals throughout the description and drawings and corrected typos. Two elements, specifically, "centrifugal motor 322 320" and "upper horizontal frame 442 440" were found labeled wrong once each and corrected in the specification. No new matters are added.

Objection to Drawings

Drawings sheets attached herewith are each labeled with "Replacement Sheet". One-to-one correspondence between specification and drawings was thoroughly checked. All the referenced numerals shown in drawings have been identified to appear in description.

FIG. 1 is labeled with "Prior Art" and cross section lead lines were corrected and cross section label "II-II" has replaced "A-A". *FIG. 2* is labeled wit "Prior Art". *FIG. 3* is corrected to carry appropriate cross section lead lines and label "IX-IX" replacing "B-B". *FIG. 4* is corrected to carry appropriate cross section lead lines and label "V-V" replacing "C-C". Reference numeral "400" initially shown in *FIG. 3* is added at top right of *FIG. 4* with underline to make it clear that *FIG. 4* is about "centrifuge 400". *FIGS. 5-10* are original drawings with better resolution. No new matters are added.

Conclusion

Applicant now believes all the pending claims 1-2 are in condition for allowance and respectfully requests the Examiner timely pass this application for allowance.

Respectively submitted,

Date: April 20, 2007

James E. Bame
Regis. No. 44521
Tel: 213-384-7200
IPLA P.A.
3580 Wilshire Blvd 17th Fl.
Los Angeles, CA 90010